

N/N

29 Aug

GA is wrong,
haven't right:

a continuity from
FDR; not a break,
a shift [on CW, yes,
prob -
more, more
emphasis on
helpfulness]

2) FDR makes moral
decisions; i.e.
favouring

X

3. USG could
have threatened
a Emperor:

- if we have to
invade Kyushu
(or even - if you
dels decision

The industrial
system will be
uprooted [we
could change]

- Emperor damaged

[Dens: bombs a fort,
Palace [not on city:]]

[Why was this run
discussed (?) — noting
acceptability of - Nuclear
- Imperial
System
contingent on the
behavior, evolution of
war?]

(Even if USSR wanted
to keep Eng. — or, later
America — they could
threaten, bluff. Besides,
had to be Eng. after big cas.)
(Challoway — something)

4. Post simply didn't

choose any of the
FDR-Cumbill policies

{Motor control. -
or paper - sure!

↳ Cumbill:

after fridboldy ??

(question was
animal earlier than
fridboldy or Dresden.

What was Marshall's
attitude on fridboldy?

+ he didn't press for mid-
target -

(GROVES, ET AL

FDR POLICY OF

a) USING THE BOMB;

b) AS SOON AS READY

IF WAR WAS STILL

GOING ^{ON} (E.G. IF SO

DIDN'T COME IN BEFORE

SUG 1 (not in our
control!)

(to foreshadow or hint

suⁿity - if not too
late for that)

non-decision because
no opposition to this,

no anger/cons/
cont consideration
no "reason" to change
it [no further
"mature consideration"^{meant}]
[exp. after March 9-10]
Cas & Gilard said;
the people who did
that would use Bush;
and on the successor
(Tunney, Byrnes — the
(only two boys!) would
have no reason not to]

Even if Truman
would not have
approved fire-
bombing!

CAN WE BE SURE
HE WOULD HAVE??

NOT FROM HIS
APPROVAL OF A-BOMB!

I could Marshall have
approved mission, if
he thought there was

a significant
change of 250,000+
KIA — coming to
Mexico and all estimates?

Ideally was
against invasion,
for agents — as was
Hoover. Both wanted
to minimize change
of invasion — but
not in favor of
Bomb! With
advocated — said they
would face opposition,

no one said to HST:
without warning,
or offer - don't
attack!

[Eckert & Gilard,
et al]

am Band ...

"It won't necessary"
means (highlighted)
the extending
cics that right/wrong
dunjustified it did not
exist; not just, "it

want "cost-
effective," ^{or} optimal,

H/N

late Aug 95

BB's point that
etc, etc. ~~the air~~ ~~not~~
express reasons
advise not to use
Bomb, or reasons
for it

[contrary to impression
you give - is without
of stressing "reason"
of CW Atomic & P.
[This will have
without time of

Potsdam meeting,
and best stance at
Potsdam, and, in part,
negotiation of offer
to Empress before
Aug 9; (along with other
as "despite reasons
for that decisions
(on which there were
opposed points of
view, arguments presented,
opposed advice)

BUT NOT THE "NON-DECISION"
NOT TO CHANGE THE

Haber

Knot up SCA Marshall
Coat on ~~the~~ Street.
Leaving — did not
win war, not
"necessary".